



CITY OF SANTA MARIA

**DESIGN, PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF ACCESS
CONTROL SYSTEM AT TRANSIT PROPERTIES**

ORIGINAL BID OPENING DATE: 01/08/20

ADDENDUM NO. - 2 -

Enclosed please find one (1) copy of Addendum No. -2- dated **12/26/19**. Please confirm receipt of Addendum No. - 2 - by signing and returning this acknowledgment of receipt **WITH YOUR BID** to be submitted on **01/08/20**.

ITEM NO. 1 - IDENTIFIED AS ADDENDUM NO. - 2 -, DATED 12/26/19:
REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION: Questions and Answers

KEVIN P. MCCUNE, P.E.
**DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS/
CITY ENGINEER**

**Receipt of Addendum No. - 2 -, City of Santa Maria, DESIGN, PURCHASE, AND
INSTALLATION OF ACCESS CONTROL SYSTEM AT TRANSIT PROPERTIES is
hereby acknowledged.**

Contractor

Date

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION: Questions and Answers

- 1. In regards to electronic security, the RFP references and or list out requirements regarding network infrastructure, access control, alarm monitoring (intrusion), CCTV, digital video recording systems (Pelco mentioned), video management systems, ID badging, Keypad readers, intercom and VMware, as well as integrations within these systems, but there is no detail around any of the systems, existing or new, other than a list of locking hardware (electrified and non-electrified components), some with embedded access control card readers (wireless) and others that call out a separate card reader (hardwired). Is the hardware starting in section 3.20 Hardware, the only equipment requirements within this RFP? Therefore, this would be a partial system and not a complete system. Correct?**

ANSWER: The hardware starting in section 3.20 lists the current hardware at both the Transit Center and Bus yard. This is a hybrid system as several of the doors noted require hardwire. The base bid is battery operated. All of the alternatives are hard wired. The hardware group no. alternates (1-9) are listed for pricing comparison with a few exceptions that require hardwire, such as money rooms, the gate, and any door with a card reader on both sides of the door. City is requesting a complete access control system.

- 1.1 If not and any other components, systems and or integrations are required, please provide the following (existing or new), supporting documents detailing the requirements, current architecture, system type, brand, detailed drawings identifying the device locations and headend locations and any specific integrations required for any additional systems and integrations.**

ANSWER: Refer to Section 3 Scope of Work

- 2. There is also further confliction and there is mention of some necessary components such as wire, readers, control panels, interface modules, elec lock (assumed electrified lock) that are not a part of this RFP and are to be provided by DIVISION 28. Please provide clarification and all DIVISION 28 documents.**

ANSWER: Division 28 is a reference to security access systems.

- 3. The RFP States “SMAT desires to contract with one independent Contractor. Consortiums and subcontracting are not acceptable. Nor shall the Contractor assign or transfer any portion of this contract to an agent or third party”, which we assume means we cannot use any subcontractors. Is this negotiable, as we use subcontractors for cable and device installations?**

ANSWER: No.

4. The RFP also references the responding party as, Firm, Proposer, Contractor and Respondent, which is assumed to be the electronic security/integrator provider. Is this correct?

ANSWER: Yes.

5. There is also mention of a Vendor and Consultant. Who is the Vendor referring to? Who is the Consultant referring to?

ANSWER: Vendor and consultant are terms used interchangeably.

6. Assuming this is strictly access control:

- 6.1 Please provide a detailed drawing indicating the doors that require access control with a specific door number correlation to the equipment requirements listed in section 3.20 Hardware.

ANSWER: Refer to Section 3.22-3.25

- 6.2 Are request to exit devices and door position switches required on each door? If so, please specify which doors are required.

ANSWER: Refer to Section 3.22-3.25

- 6.3 Please provide a detailed drawing indicating the available headend equipment locations.

ANSWER: 1303 Fairway Drive, Santa Maria, CA 93455

- 6.4 Is there an existing access control database with sufficient licensing to support the hardware starting in section 3.20 Hardware?

ANSWER: No.

- 6.4.1 Please provide the existing access control database system details, brand, version and system ID.

ANSWER: No.

6.4.2 Is there existing compatible headend equipment (wireless and hardwire) designed to support the hardware starting in section 3.20 Hardware available and sufficient to support the requested hardware, which we are to use?

ANSWER: Yes.

6.4.3 Please provide a detailed drawing indicating the doors that require access control with a specific door number correlation to the equipment requirements listed in section 3.20 Hardware, as there is confusion and it appears some of the correlation is incorrect and conflicting between RFP and the Drawing Schedule.

ANSWER: Refer to section 3.22-3.25