

**MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING  
SANTA MARIA CITY COUNCIL**

**CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA**

**OCTOBER 3, 2017**

**CALL TO ORDER:**

Mayor Patino called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.

**ROLL CALL:**

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Councilmembers Boysen, Cordero, Waterfield, and Mayor Patino.

Councilmember Moats arrived at 5:02 p.m.

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT**

City Manager Haydon, City Attorney Trujillo, Director of Human Resources & Records Anderson, and Deputy City Clerk Cleary

**PUBLIC COMMENT:**

Abraham Melendrez thanked the City Council for answering his questions and asked that they hire someone who lives in the City of Santa Maria as the new City Manager.

Susan Patton, President of Los Padres Artist Guild, spoke on the Arts Master Plan saying her club of about 120 members liked the idea of taking a small percentage from development and applying it to an arts program.

**RECESS:**

Mayor Patino recessed to a Closed Session at 5:11 p.m. for:

- 1) PUBLIC EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENT pursuant to Subdivision (b)(1) of Government Code Section 54957. Title: City Manager
- 2) CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6. Agency designated representative: Mayor Patino. Unrepresented employee: City Manager
- 3) CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS pursuant to Section 54957.6 of the Government Code. Agency representatives: City Manager and Director of Human Resources & Records. Employee Organizations: Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 620, Santa Maria Police Association of Middle Management (SMPAMM), Santa Maria City Fire Fighters' Union Local 2020 (Local), Non-Represented Management and Confidential Employees, and Public Safety Managers.

**INVOCATION:**

Miguel Hidalgo of Iglesia de Dios el Cuerpo de Cristo gave the Invocation.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG:**

Councilmember Moats led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**RECONVENE THE MEETING AND CALL TO ORDER:**

Mayor Patino reconvened the meeting and called the meeting to order at 5:33 p.m.

**ROLL CALL:**

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Councilmembers Boysen, Cordero, Moats, Waterfield, and Mayor Patino.

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT**

City Manager Haydon, City Attorney Trujillo, Director of Human Resources & Records Anderson, Building Division Manager Cardona, Fire Chief Champion, Director of Finance Harvey, City Librarian Housel, Director of Public Works Kahn, Recreation & Parks Director Posada, Assistant City Attorney Sinco, Director of Utilities Springer, Assistant City Manager Stilwell, Police Chief Hansen, and Deputy City Clerk Cleary.

**2. PROCLAMATIONS/COMMENDATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:**

**A. PROCLAMATION – SPELLING BEE DAY**

Councilmember Moats presented a proclamation in recognition of October 7, 2017, as “Spelling Bee Day.” Laura Arteaga, Director of the Central Coast Literacy Council accepted the proclamation.

**B. PROCLAMATION – COMMUNITY MEDIA DAY**

Councilmember Cordero presented a proclamation in recognition of October 20, 2017, as “Community Media Day.” Kathy Staples and Jeanne Sparks accepted the proclamation.

**C. PROCLAMATION – 75<sup>th</sup> ANNIVERSARY OF THE FBI SANTA MARIA RESIDENT AGENCY**

Councilmember Waterfield presented a proclamation in recognition of the 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s Santa Maria Resident Agency. Supervising Senior Resident FBI Agent Brian Sullivan accepted the proclamation.

**D. PROCLAMATION – FIRE PREVENTION WEEK**

Councilmember Boysen presented a proclamation in recognition of October 8-14 as “Fire Prevention Week.” Fire Chief Champion accepted the proclamation and invited everyone to an Open House on Saturday, October 14 at Fire Station 1, from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon.

**3. CONSENT CALENDAR:**

Consent Calendar Items 3A through 3H were approved on motion by Councilmember Boysen, seconded by Councilmember Waterfield, and carried on the following vote: 5-0

- AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Cordero, Moats, Waterfield, and Mayor Patino
- NOES: None
- ABSENT: None
- ABSTAIN: None

**A. ORDINANCES**

The reading in full of all ordinances and resolutions was waived. Ordinances on the Consent Calendar were adopted by the same vote cast at the first reading unless City Council indicated otherwise.

**B. MINUTES**

The Minutes of the regular Meeting of the City Council of September 19, 2017, were approved as submitted.

**C. AGREEMENT FOR BALLOT MEASURE POLLING SERVICES**

Resolution No. 2017-112 was adopted authorizing the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into an agreement with Godbe Research for public opinion research and ballot measure polling services, for the purposes of renewing or enhancing the City’s existing quarter-cent local sales and use tax.

**Resolution No. 2017-112 adopted.** A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA AND GODBE RESEARCH FOR THE PURPOSES OF PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH AND BALLOT MEASURE POLLING SERVICES.

**D. FAITHFUL PERFORMANCE BOND – EASTRIDGE ESTATES (AKA LEGACY)**

The City Council accepted as complete the landscaping and irrigation public improvements, and authorized the release of the Faithful Performance Bond for Landscaping and Irrigation [#4394670] in the reduced amount of \$206,257.50 posted in the form of a Surety Bond to guarantee installation of the required landscaping and irrigation along the street frontage, the parkway area, street trees within the parkway area, and the retention basin; and ordered that the Contractor, Labor and Material Bond in the amount of \$206,258.00 be retained until April 2018, and the Guarantee and Defective Material Bond in the amount of \$41,251.50, associated with Bond #4394670, be retained until October 2018.

**E. WARRANTS**

Warrant Nos. 209852 to 210089 totaling \$1,348,624.87 were ordered ratified subject to having been certified as being in conformity with the budget and having been approved for payment by the Director of Finance. Warrant No. 209687 was cancelled.

**F. PAYROLL**

Payment of payroll was ordered ratified subject to having been certified by the proper Department Heads, as shown on records on file in the Department of Finance and having been approved for payment by the Director of Finance.

**G. NO-STOPPING ZONE ON FARRELL DRIVE**

Resolution No. 2017-113 was adopted establishing a no-stopping zone on a portion of Farrell Road.

**Resolution No. 2017-113 adopted.** A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, ESTABLISHING A NO-STOPPING ZONE ON FARRELL DRIVE PURSUANT TO SECTION 7-5.16 OF THE SANTA MARIA MUNICIPAL CODE.

**H. AGREEMENT WITH WALLACE GROUP FOR ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES**

Resolution No. 2017-114 was adopted authorizing the Director of Utilities to enter into an Agreement with Wallace Group for Engineering Design Services for the Main Street Subwatershed Improvement Project.

**Resolution No. 2017-114 adopted.** A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE DIRECTOR OF UTILITIES TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH WALLACE GROUP FOR ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE MAIN STREET SUBWATERSHED IMPROVEMENT PROJECT.

**Due to the number of speakers for Agenda Item No. 4 - Public Art Master Plan, Mayor Patino moved Agenda Item No. 5 – Community Development Block Grants Priorities to be presented before Item No. 4.**

**5. PRIORITIZATION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS**

The City Council considered approving the proposed Fiscal Year 2018-19 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding priorities. Community Programs Manager Narez gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. Each year, the Block Grants Advisory Committee conducts a community needs workshop, receives input from the City Council, and reviews its priorities. Over the

last few years, the priorities have been consistent, but this year one change is proposed. The Committee suggests eliminating Item 4 on the list (expand economic development opportunities) due to recent experiences involving projects funded with CDBG Capital funds that have proven risky and problematic; and consolidate Item 3 (provide affordable housing) and Item 5 (revitalize existing neighborhoods). Last year, more than half of the allocations went into revitalizing neighborhoods and combining these two priorities would be more in-line with the intent of CDBG funding.

Councilmember Boysen commented that he was happy to see the City had rejoined the HOME Consortium. He asked how much funding the City might receive from the Consortium.

Community Programs Manager Narez responded that, on average, the City receives about \$300,000.

Public input

One written communication was received from Women's Economic Ventures requesting that they continue to be considered for Capital funding.

Council discussion

There was no Council discussion.

Resolution No. 2017-115 was adopted on motion by Councilmember Waterfield, seconded by Councilmember Boysen, and carried on the following vote: 5-0

AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Cordero, Moats, Waterfield, and Mayor Patino  
NOES: None  
ABSENT: None  
ABSTAIN: None

**Resolution No. 2017-115 adopted.** A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PRIORITIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018-19 FUNDING PROCESS.

**4. PUBLIC ART MASTER PLAN.** The City Council considered recommendations to establish a Santa Maria Public Art Master Plan and City Art Fund fee. Recreation & Parks Director Posada and Management Analyst Smitherman gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. The Public Arts Master Plan (Plan) was started in 2015. The Recreation & Parks Commission has reviewed the Plan on no less than four occasions. The Planning Commission has also had the opportunity to review the Plan. The Plan consists of eight goals: 1) using public art to support Santa Maria's identity; 2) embracing public art citywide as a beautification effort; 3) celebrating the City's unique style in its entries to downtown and neighborhoods; 4) creating a more walkable City; 5) incorporating more public art in neighborhoods and parks; 6) creating a public art in private development program; 7) supporting temporary artwork installations and performance-based arts events; and 8) allocating more funding for public art commissions.

The City has many art revenue streams through PCPA, the Santa Maria Philharmonic Society, Coastal Voices-Santa Maria Choir, the Santa Maria Civic Theatre, Allan Hancock's Community Band, Concerts in the Park, and local winery and restaurant performance venues. A recent study by Santa Barbara County regarding 2017 Arts & Economic Prosperity estimates that attendees at art events spent about \$21 per person per event which equates to \$11.7 million per year countywide. A percent-for-art ordinance

is common in more than 400 cities in the U.S. The on-going visibility is good for the community and provides a marketing bonanza for Chambers of Commerce and Tourism Boards.

Recreation & Parks Director Posada emphasized that the main reason for an arts program would be to create a sense of community and environment that encourages individuals to visit parks and the downtown. The City's effort to revitalize the downtown gives a perfect opportunity to incorporate an art plan. Community Development staff have been working diligently to help support the Plan to have as a guide to development in the area. The State is encouraging cities to have secondary housing on what typically would have been a single resident lot. Remodels or additions could capture revenue for this kind of program. Years ago, the City had created a program called the Audience Development Fund in collaboration with the Santa Barbara Foundation and the Chamber of Commerce. Back then, the Santa Barbara Foundation matched the Chamber and City's contribution of \$10,000 and those dollars were made available to art grants given out by the Foundation.

Staff proposes three options for the Council to consider: 1) adopt the Plan as recommended by the Planning Commission and direct staff to prepare a fee ordinance; 2) direct staff to develop an ordinance calling for the establishment of a 1/4 percent fee on all building permits issued by the City; 3) appoint a five person committee comprised of one Councilmember, two Planning Commissioners, two Recreation & Parks Commissioners, and staffed by the Recreation & Parks Director to further analyze and refine the proposed Plan and bring back to Council for further consideration. A fourth option would be to adopt the Plan and appoint a five person committee to further analyze the proposed percent-for-art ordinance to bring back to Council at a future date. During discussions with the Commissions and public, a concern arose regarding if the fee would actually be a tax. However, the City Attorney's Office has determined the fee did not fall under the category of a tax.

Councilmember Boysen asked what other cities were doing in terms of art programs and what percentages they used. He also inquired about other funding sources like a transfer fee or trust deeds.

Management Analyst Smitherman responded that Pismo Beach has both a public and private percent-for-art program. Salinas has a public percent-for-art program. Burbank, Carson, and Downey also have some type of percent-for-art program. Staff found there was a variety of ways the fee program could be created. Santa Barbara has a 1 percent fee, Pismo Beach has a 1 percent for public and private fee programs, and Salinas has a 1 percent public fee. With regard to other funding possibilities, some cities have chosen to work with non-profits that hold city contracts and the funds come from the General Fund to support the non-profit. Other cities pull from their transient occupancy tax funds. There are many ways to fund a program, but the most common is a percent-for-art program.

Councilmember Waterfield asked if fees come from the private sector or if they are mandated by the City.

Management Analyst Smitherman responded that the fees come from both private sector and mandates.

Councilmember Moats asked if it would be possible to obtain grants from the Santa Barbara Foundation to supplement the program. He also mentioned the Orcutt Children's Art Program and annual fundraiser.

Management Analyst Smitherman responded that the Santa Barbara Foundation has gotten away from funding the arts over the last ten years. Local foundations might be more inclined to provide matching funds.

Councilmember Moats asked why commercial building permits valued at more than \$400,000 would be asked to provide an approved art project on site or pay the ¼ percent fee, but not residential or manufacturing zoned development (Option 2).

Recreation & Parks Director Posada responded that that option had come from discussion with the Planning Commission and pertained to properties the public would be likely to visit. Developers would have the opportunity to participate in the fee program or install art into their projects.

Councilmember Cordero asked if staff had success stories to share. He also mentioned he had been traveling recently and was impressed with artwork he'd seen in other cities.

Management Analyst Smitherman responded that staff had learned the return on investment of having an art program was \$5 for every \$1 spent. This information came from the 2017 National Endowment for the Arts and the American for the Arts Foundation reports. The Santa Maria Arts Council has been in Santa Maria for more than 30 years, and has become the umbrella organization for many arts organizations in the City. They would be part of the "selection of art" process should the plan go forward.

Councilmember Boysen asked about the total valuation of residential and commercial permits were this year and what the breakdown was. He also asked the value of public projects in 2016.

Building Division Manager Cardona responded that about 20 percent of permits had been residential and 80 percent commercial. Most commercial projects would be in excess of a \$50,000 valuation.

Written communication

Staff received written communication from The Home Builders Association, Urban Planning Concepts, and the Santa Maria Valley Chamber of Commerce asking that Council adopt Recommendation 3 appointing a committee to refine the proposed plan. The Towbes Group recommended against charging fees for public art, and suggested that staff explore alternative ways to provide public art.

Public comments

Kathy Sharum and David Dennis spoke in support of Recommendation No. 1.

Judith Frost and Chris Slaughter spoke in favor of Recommendation No. 1 or 2.

Andrew Hackleman, Brian Schwartz, and Ernest Armenta spoke in favor of Recommendation No. 3.

Melissa Johnson-Barash spoke in favor of having an arts program.

Dan Blough spoke of his belief that the art fund would be a tax and said he we concerned that some of the material presented to the Council had not been available 72 hours prior to the meeting as required by the Brown Act.

Linda and Craig Shafer spoke in favor of an arts program and rejected Recommendations 3 & 4.

Rubin Espinoza spoke of his belief that art and commerce go together and are not exclusive of each other.

Stephen Paschke spoke about the art on display at the airport.

Helen Galvan requested that an art program reflect the diversity of the community, and suggested the City have a theme to its art program.

Dana Soares spoke in favor of an art program, but expressed concern that it not interfere with scholastic art.

Recreation & Parks Director Posada commented that the fee would not be applied to homeowners. The fee would apply to any building permit over \$50,000. In response to one public speaker's question, he indicated that the Grapes & Grains Autumn Festival was being re-thought and would be brought back next year with a new theme.

Council discussion

Councilmember Boysen asked how an art fee would be implemented. He said the fee seemed like an AB1600 fee where one is either providing the improvements or paying a fee.

Recreation & Parks Director Posada responded that there would be a jury and the program would involve local artists. When a commercial developer was in the process of planning with Community Development, the art program would be incorporated in the planning process. The developer would then have the option to pay a fee or install an art project. It would be somewhat like the City's subdivision in-lieu fee.

City Attorney Trujillo commented that, under the law, the art fee would not be a mitigation fee, so it would not be considered an AB1600 fee. The in-lieu fee gives a developer a choice of paying a fee or having art on their site.

Councilmember Moats asked about the portion of fee that would be collected on City public works projects in Option 2 and if it would come out of the General Fund or Enterprise Funds.

Recreation & Parks Director Posada responded that that would depend on where the project was coming from. The General Fund would be charged for a Public Works project that was not traffic-related. For example, the most recent large public works project was the new Police Department. A piece of art could have been put in place or a fee imposed for the public art program, and that would have come out of the General Fund. He also said that one of the options initially proposed was for a one percent fee across the board.

Councilmember Waterfield commented on the potential time delay to developers should they have to consider an art project and said she supported Option 3. She indicated that she hoped establishing a committee would allow for more study into potential unintended consequences that may arise with the approval of an art program.

Mayor Patino spoke in support of the arts, and expressed her concern as to who would pay for the Plan.

Councilmember Boysen reminded everyone the City was in a financial crisis, not to mention issues with homelessness and other concerns. He suggested staff do more research, and add clarification to the Plan with regard to funding.

Councilmember Cordero commented that, given how long the item had been under consideration, he was not in favor of postponing it. The biggest concern seemed to be how to finance it.

Recreation & Parks Director Posada commented that one thought had been to add a Public Art Program as a component of Measure U2012 and bring the idea to the vote of the people.

Councilmember Waterfield stated she supported Option 3 with the addition of two members of the development community.

Mayor Patino commented that the Chamber of Commerce recommended going with Option 3 to create a committee. She added that, during the Council's retreat prioritizing projects for the year, an Arts Program had not been on the list. She suggested Option 3 with the addition of members from the business or development community.

Councilmember Waterfield made a motion to create a seven member committee to include two members from the development community.

Councilmember Boysen indicated he was perplexed that the Council was going forward at all saying he was raised on the principle that government should only do those things that the private sector cannot, or will not, do effectively and efficiently and this did not fall into that category for him.

Councilmember Waterfield agreed and thought the item should not to go forward and Councilmember Boysen agreed.

Councilmember Cordero asked if not going forward with the item meant killing it or just not going forward this evening.

Recreation & Parks Director Posada reiterated there were two parts to the item, the Plan itself and the ordinance for fees. Clarification would be needed from Councilmember Waterfield as to what "not going forward" meant.

Councilmember Waterfield indicated she believed that many issues needed to be worked out with regard to funding. As much as everyone loves the arts, it was not at the top of the list of the City's needs. She asked that Council table the item to bring back later as the budget crisis was more important at this time.

Councilmember Boysen agreed saying perhaps a Public Arts Program fee could be added to Measure U2012.

City Manager Haydon asked if Councilmember Waterfield was then withdrawing her motion to create a committee and making a motion to table it.

Councilmember Waterfield confirmed she was withdrawing it and suggested that if public opinion was needed, the program might fit with Measure U2012.

City Manager Haydon stated Measure U2012 was written as being for essential city services, police patrol, firefighting services, at-risk youth services, gang and drug suppression, improve 911, and other essential services. He asked if direction was to add the public arts program to the polling questioning.

Councilmember Waterfield confirmed.

Councilmember Cordero stated he saw no nexus to adding public art to Measure U2012 as the Measure was specific to public safety.



Mayor Patino pointed out it could be added to the survey of the people to be conducted by a polling consultant to determine whether or not to add it to the Measure, and it would be good information for the Council to know.

City Manager Haydon suggested bifurcating the question on the poll to: "Do you support the renewal of Measure U and would you support an enhanced measure supporting other city services such as the arts program, soccer complexes, etc?"

Councilmember Moats suggested Option 3 which would commit the City to further study the arts program. Perhaps they would find that the TOT would be a good way to fund it.

Direction to staff

Option No. 3 was approved, as amended to add two members from the development community thus creating a seven member committee, on motion by Councilmember Moats, seconded by Councilmember Cordero, and carried on the following vote: 4-1

AYES: Councilmembers Cordero, Moats, Waterfield, and Mayor Patino  
NOES: Councilmember Boysen  
ABSENT: None  
ABSTAIN: None

City Manager Haydon gave a recap of the final vote saying that, when the polling for extending Measure U2012 is conducted, the City would inquire about the likelihood of a Public Art Program and Fee. He also indicated that tonight's action called for the establishment of a seven member committee comprised of one Councilmember, two Planning Commissioners, two Recreation & Parks Commissioners, two members from the development community, staffed by the Recreation & Parks Director in an effort to further analyze and refine the proposed Public Art Program. The item could then be brought back to Council at a future date.

**6. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**

Ernest Armenta spoke against renewing Measure U2012.

Willie Galvan expressed gratitude for current construction jobs at Enos Ranch and the 4-story building on Broadway and Main. Mr. Galvan also spoke about work opportunities for youth.

Mary Jacka spoke in support of the work opportunities for youth and the arts program.

Mark Rademaker read a passage from the bible.

**REPORT BY CITY MANAGER:**

City Manager Haydon reported the next City Council meeting would be October 17, 2017. Items on the agenda would include funding for bus replacements for the Clean Air Express and the Guadalupe Flyer; a Design Immunity for Public Works; an Urban Forest Maintenance Contract; and an amendment to the water contract with CCWA. There will also be two public hearings: one on the Gateway Mixed Use Project and one regarding an update to Growth Mitigation Plan.

**ORAL REPORTS OF COUNCILMEMBERS AND OFFICERS (INCLUDING AB1234 REPORTS):**

Councilmember Boysen reported he represented the City at a CCWA meeting. He also indicated that Mr. Sobhani, a previous applicant for a zone change on Blosser to accommodate a CHC clinic, contacted him with a request to bring his item before Council again for approval or denial. Discussion

**MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING  
SANTA MARIA CITY COUNCIL**

**OCTOBER 3, 2017**

ensued between the Council as they confirmed that no action had been taken on Mr. Sobhani's item, and that it had died for lack of a second. City Attorney Trujillo clarified that sometimes there can be a motion and a second on the floor, but that does not mean the Councilmember who seconded the motion has to vote in favor of the motion. Sometimes a motion is seconded for the sake of discussion only.

Motion was made by Councilmember Boysen to direct staff to bring Mr. Sobhani's project before the City Council again, seconded by Councilmember Moats, and carried on the following vote: 5-0

AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Cordero, Moats, Waterfield, and Mayor Patino  
NOES: None  
ABSENT: None  
ABSTAIN: None

Councilmember Waterfield reported that she attended the Santa Maria Public Airport Board Meeting; the Buffalo Wild Wings Soft Opening; Santa Maria Bonita School District's Quarterly Schools meeting; a C3H Policy Council meeting; and the Foursquare Church's Youth Health and Safety Resource event.

Councilmember Moats reported that he attended the Santa Maria Public Airport Board Meeting; the Buffalo Wild Wings Soft Opening; the combined School Superintendents Meeting; and the Boys & Girls Youth Night event in Guadalupe.

Councilmember Cordero reported that he attended a United Way meeting and a C3H meeting.

Mayor Patino reported that she attended the SBCAG Monthly Board Meeting; El Camino Junior High, McKenzie Junior High, and Bonita Elementary School visits; the Special Meeting of the City Council; the Youth and Health Safety Resource Fair; and Marian Medical's CEO Roundtable.

**RECESS:**

Mayor Patino recessed to a Closed Session at 8:37 p.m. for:

- 1) PUBLIC EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENT pursuant to Subdivision (b)(1) of Government Code Section 54957. Title: City Manager
- 2) CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6. Agency designated representative: Mayor Patino. Unrepresented employee: City Manager
- 3) CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS pursuant to Section 54957.6 of the Government Code. Agency representatives: City Manager and Director of Human Resources & Records. Employee Organizations: Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 620, Santa Maria Police Association of Middle Management (SMPAMM), Santa Maria City Fire Fighters' Union Local 2020 (Local), Non-Represented Management and Confidential Employees, and Public Safety Managers.

**CLOSED SESSION REPORT**

Mayor Patino announced that the City Council unanimously appointed Jason Stilwell as the City's next City Manager. Council directed staff to prepare an employment contract for their consideration at the October 17, 2017, City Council Meeting.

**MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING  
SANTA MARIA CITY COUNCIL**

**OCTOBER 3, 2017**

**ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business before the City Council, Mayor Patino declared the City Council meeting adjourned at 9:18 p.m.

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
October 17, 2017  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
M. Beth Cleary  
Deputy City Clerk and ex officio Clerk of the City  
Council

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Alice M. Patino  
Mayor of the City of Santa Maria

\_\_\_\_\_  
M. Beth Cleary  
Deputy City Clerk